

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The foregoing amendment and the following arguments are provided to impart precision to the claims, by more particularly pointing out the invention, rather than to avoid prior art.

35 U.S.C. § 112 Rejections

Examiner rejected claims 6, 11, and 15 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Appropriate correction has been made by the foregoing amendments.

35 U.S.C. § 103(a) Rejections

Examiner rejected claims 1-3, 6-9, 11-13, and 15 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 5,815,126 (hereinafter "Fan") in view of U.S. Publication 2002/0055215 (hereinafter "Tamura").

To establish *prima facie* obviousness of a claimed invention, all the claim limitations must be taught or suggested by the prior art. *In re Royka*, 490 F.2d 981, 180 USPQ 580 (CCPA 1974). (Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP) ¶ 2143.03).

Independent claims 1, 8 and 13 of the present application include limitations not disclosed or taught by the Fan nor Tamura. As a result, applicant's independent claims 1, 8 and 13 are not anticipated Fan, and are patentable over Fan in view of Tamura.

In particular, applicant's amended independent claims include the limitations of a receiver to receive a display command *through a wireless Bluetooth link, including to receive a compressed bitmap file for a video frame.*

The examiner indicates that Tamura discloses a Bluetooth link. **However, the Bluetooth link in Tamura does not disclose nor suggest using a wireless Bluetooth link to transfer video data, as is claimed by applicant.** More specifically, as disclosed in Tamura, the video data is to be received through the antenna 96:

[0141] The electronic equipment 50 includes a modulator/demodulator circuit 98 which demodulates a signal received through an antenna 96, or modulates a signal to be transmitted through the antenna 96. **Moving image data encoded according to the MPEG-4 standard, for example, can be transmitted or received through the antenna 96.**

Tamura does disclose a wireless operation section 92, but the feature is not disclosed for transmitting and receiving video data.

Rather the wireless operation section is limited to sending and receiving operation information, and is not disclosed for sending and receiving video data. As pointed out above, the video data is sent and received via the antenna 96 using a wireless communications network such as a mobile communications network.

The Bluetooth operations of wireless operation section 92 and the receiving and sending of video data via the antenna 92 are discussed in Tamura separately and distinctly, thereby conclusively confirming that the two are separate. And Bluetooth is not disclosed in Tamura for transmitting and receiving video data, as claimed by applicant.

Therefore, applicant's independent claims 1, 8 and 13 include limitations that are not disclosed nor suggested by the Fan nor Tamura, and independent claims are patentable over Fan in view of Tamura.

Applicant's remaining claims depend from at least one of the independent claims discussed above, and therefore include the distinguishing claim limitations as discussed above. As a result, Applicant's remaining claims are also patentable.

CONCLUSION


Applicants respectfully submit the present application is in condition for allowance. If the Examiner believes a telephone conference would expedite or assist in the allowance of the present application, the Examiner is invited to call John Ward at (408) 720-8300, x237.

Authorization is hereby given to charge our Deposit Account No. 02-2666 for any charges that may be due.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN

Date: March 28, 2005



John P. Ward
Reg. No. 40,216

12400 Wilshire Boulevard
Seventh Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026
(408) 720-8300